p-28 2 F

App. F. IX-4

1928-9 File No. 4-28

Previous References
Jud 55-24 (34 a 35 f. 1
38-43 45 46)

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later References

preserve

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. four

Part B for March 29

SUBJECT

Refund of the amount paid by Mr M.S. Krishna Rau to the Mysore Sulphur Works. 1 f

---

926

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | J.S File No. 4 of 1928.

Serial No.

From:
The Director of Agriculture
Roc 245-Hc 50 of 28-9 dt 27-7-1928
Forwards letter No 1453 of ~~the~~ 25th July 1928 from Dr M. S. Krishna Rao, Editor of the Sahyadri and submits for consideration.
1) orders of the Assistant Secretary on the P.W.D.
2) Cr please call for a report from the Registrar J.S.
(Sd) V.V
6/8/28

2 To
The Registrar of Joint Stock companies
D 2000 / J.S. 4-28-2 dt 14-8-28
Forwards a copy of letter No 1453 dt 25-7-28 from the Editor Sahyadri and requests the favour of a report in the matter.
3) Resubmitted after issue
CRR 17/8 (Sd) D)

C/8

UO 21/8
24 8 28
UO 29/8

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
28
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P S File No. 4 of 1928.

Serial No.

3 From.
The Registrar of Joint Stock Companies
No C 206/28-29 dt 1-9-1928.
States that as the Application money became repayable only after the 15th February 1925 And as Dr Coleman and Mr Phore were not directors on that date, it may be maintained that they are not liable for the repayment of the money and that the Legal Remembrancer may also be Consulted in the matter.
4) Order of the Secretary on the P. N. D
5) "C" References"

(Sd) N. F.
1-9-28

6) Kind reference is invited to the abstract of G. O. No 4528-31/9 dt 55-24-45 dt 6-1-1925 Accepting the resignation of the two Government directors. The file relating to the issue of this Order is not traceable. The Abstract of the order is submitted for Kind Reference. It is sigd by the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, the Legal Remembrancer may perhaps be Consulted.
7) Submitted for Orders.
CPR 6/9. 8) There is a file on this subject in the Rev. Branch. I think: certain lands were granted to Messrs Battenby & Co. subject, among other,

X Flag "M" (Monthly Volume for Jan 1928 Sl. No 149)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

1474/28
P 1918

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P.J File No. 4 of 1928.

Serial No.

to the condition that they accepted liability for all claims arising out of the previous agreement between them & the Govt.

9) Please put up that file.

UP
25/9/28.

Immediate.

10) The file referred to above (2 & 55-24-354) has been obtained by the Protection Br., to which Time may be sent for putting up the collection

vide requisition slip dated 29-9-28

In favour of putting up the file immediately.

Protection Branch with compliments

UP
1/10/28

comply.
2
1/10/28

Jain
1/10

11) The file seems to have returned to the Development Branch on 20-9-27 with diary No: 1595.

Dnf
1-10-28 To the Dev. Branch

UP
1/10

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
2 - OCT. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Subd

12) There is no indication of this file having been returned to the Development Branch.

The Diary No quoted above does not pertain to this subject.

13) Protection Branch may perhaps be consulted again

Czn
4/10

7 The Prots Br.

The matter is urgent. For

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 61714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192

Serial No.

Immediate.

favour of kindly verifying once again & putting up the file, or quoting the refce, if any.

Rec'd Rs
with compts

MQ
4/10/28

14) In the meantime, the L.R. may be consulted on the opinion of the Regr of J.S. Co's: the claim of the applicant ajt Dr Coleman is not tenable.

Sec'y D)

MQ
4/10/28.

15) Legal Remembrancer, with compts, for favour of opinion

MQ
4-10-28

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

P.10

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—13-4-28

O P I N I O N.

16) Certain persons had applied for shares in the Mysore Sugar Works and Estates Ltd., and paid the amounts with their applications as required. The Company was incorporated on 18-10-24 and two of the six Directors Viz., Dr. Coleman and Mr. J. Bhore were nominated by Government. The first prospectus was issued on the same date viz 18-10-1924. The Company did not start business nor did it go into allotment. The Government directors vacated their office on the 15th and 10th January 1925.

17) The applicants for shares are now demanding the return of the amounts paid with their applications and seek to hold the directors personally liable under Section 101 of the Companies Regulation. The question for consideration is whether the Government directors are so liable.

18) Section 101(1) prohibits any allotment being made ~~under~~ unless the amount of minimum subscription named in the prospectus has been subscribed and according to Clause (4) if this condition is not complied with within 120 days after the issue of the 1st prospectus, the money received from applicants shall have to be forthwith returned and if they are not so returned within 130 days after the issue of such prospectus the Directors of the Company are declared to be jointly and severally liable to the amount with interest at 7 per cent per annum after the expiry of 130 days The Directors can escape liability by proving that the loss of the money was not due to any misconduct or negligence on their part.

19) The point whether within 120 days of the issue of the first prospectus, the minimum subscription was reached or not is not clear from the file of papers sent This is a fact which ought to b be ascertained. The Registrar of Joint Stock Companies seems to presume that the minimum subscription could not have been reached from the fact that no allotment was made. But I cannot agree with this view. The Company might not have gone into allotment even though the minimum subscription was reached. The point is important because unless this fact is established, Section 101 cannot apply. If the minimum subscription was collected and yet the Company did not go into allotment on account of other reasons, the directors cannot incur any personal liability as mentioned in Section 101. It is then the Company that will have to be held liable for the return of the amount sent with the applications.

In any case, D C r Mr Bhore have a valid defence, for in neither contingency can a suit lie against them

20) The next question for consideration is whether assuming that the minimum subscription was not reached, the two Government directors ar liable under Section 101 even though they had vacated their offices by the time the amounts became payable to the applicants, since in this case Dr. Coleman and Mr. Bhore resigned their offices on 15th January and 10th January 1925 respectively and the period of 120 days after the issue of the prospectus expired on 15th February 1925 Since the obligation to return the amounts to the applicant arises only after the expiry of 120 days computed from the date of the issue of the prospectus, it is clear that it is the directors in office at the time the

obligation arises that can be held personally liable under Section 101. The cause of action for a suit by the applicant for shares did not arise so long as Dr. Coleman or Mr. Bhore were directors and as such there is no cause of action against them for which they can be sued.

21) I am therefore of opinion that there is a valid defence and the threatened suit may be awaited. Since Dr. Coleman and Mr. Bhore were Directors nominated by Government and it is in that capacity they acted, I think it is necessary to defend them at Government cost. Suitable replies stating ~~that~~ the fact of the Government Directors having resigned before the 15th February 1925 will have to be sent to the Mr. M.S.Krishna Rao.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
9 OCT. 1928
Imperial DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Development Secretary
Work Comptr

Legal Remembrancer.
8.10.1928.

22) Subd for orders. The officers have to be defended at the cost of Govt. The Govt Advocate may be instructed to send a suitable reply

(1st Member)

8/10/28

FINANCE
9 OCT. 1928
No. 2330

23) A. yes
Dr. Coleman may be asked to send a reply as suggested by LR

9/10/28

24) I remember having expressed the view that Govt officers should not be permitted to work as Directors of this Concern. The connected papers may be put up for ref

9.10.28 WR 9/10

Serial No.

25) File No 9+C 55-24-85 38-42, 45, 46 which relates to the resignation of Dr Coleman and Mr Bhoda, the then Govt directors, has been taken by the Protection Branch on 3-9-1927

26) Protection Branch may be requested to again, to put up the required file.

C8R 9/10. Protn Br)

Received at 4.53 p.m. 1.10.28

27) The file seems to have been sent to the Chief Engr in reply to his letter No 1595 dated 3-9-27. The Ch Engr's office is not searching for it. Since the Dev. Branch has to take some early action in sending replies as advised by L.R. this may be returned to Dev. Branch.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 15 OCT. 1928 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Dev 15/10/28 To the Supdg, Dev Br with Camps

CSR 15/10

28 Submitted with reference to Para 24 Page 7.

29) For the meantime, the Govt. Advocate may be informed about the decision arrived at. A draft is submitted for approval or for other orders.

C8R 15/10. 30) May be sent U.O. to the Govt Advocate if appd. for further action, as suggested crossed in Para 22

23. Sec'y D)

31) Yes WP 15/10

15-10-28

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

Urgent

H 02081-
15-10-28.

D. Nos 5/28-29
& 18.10.928

32) Forwarded unofficially with Compliments to the Government Advocate for favour of necessary action and obliging return

By order.

Viraraghavachar
For Secretary to Government
15/10 Development Departments

33) I have prepared the accompanying reply for being sent to Mr. S. Krishnarao as desired

34) In my opinion the strong point in our favour is the question of limitation. The cause of action for the filing of the suit arose 250 days from the date of the first issue of the prospectus, and this, is said to be the 18th October 1924 (date). The suit should have been filed if at all by or before the 25th June 1928 (i.e within 3 years from the date on which the cause of action arose). Even giving him an extra 2 months for the issue of notice under S 80 of the C. P. C. the time expired on the 25th August last.

35) On the merits, however I am not prepared to say that the matter is so simple as it looks at first sight. There is no doubt that

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

the Government Directors resigned their Directorships in January 1925 but this was sometime after the issue of the prospectus in which their names have been prominently mentioned as Directors.

36) There are several provisions in the Company's Regulations which fix certain responsibilities on the Directors and require certain formalities to be complied with by persons who lend their names as promotors or first Directors of Companies, with the evident object of safeguarding the interests of those intending shareholders who apply for shares on the strength of the several statements made in the prospectus. The liability of these Directors may be presumed to continue at least till the shares are allotted and the Company starts business. ~~[illegible]~~

(vide ss 84, 85(1) 93(1)(c), 100 & 150 (2), of the Companies Act)

37) S. 101 is clearly intended to safeguard the interests of the those applicants for shares who will have applied on the strength of the assurances given by those who issue the prospectus – viz the first Directors

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

and that is why, in the event of the Company not going to allotment Express provision is made for the refund of the money, holding the Directors jointly & severally responsible for the moneys. This is one of the guarantees against which the intending shareholders apply for shares and send in their application money. To allow any director at that stage to send in his resignation and to escape liability would be to permit something which is unfair if not unjust. To see the force of this observation we may take a case where all the directors mentioned in the prospectus send in their resignations. Who will then be liable to the applicants for the refund of their application moneys under s. 101 (4)?

38) I am therefore inclined to think that the directors of the Company" referred to in 101 (4) are those Directors whose names appear in the prospectus - as it is on their (statutory) responsibility, as it were, that applicants make up their minds to apply for shares & remit moneys.

P. T. O.

Chamberlain
Govt Actuary
6/10/28

183/28
p 8/28

App E/III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Immediate

39) I have enclosed the ~~draft~~ notice for the approval of Government. If it is intended that I should send the reply, I shall do so after the draft notice is returned ~~after~~ approved. If it is intended that Dr Coleman himself should send the reply, the wording of the draft may be suitably amended before issue

H Shanley
Govt Advocate
30/10/28

40) Legal Remembrancer, with compliments, for favour of opinion as to the suitableness of the proposed reply - also as to whether the reply might be sent by Dr Coleman, or by the Govt Advr. on his behalf

H.S.
20-10-28

MP
21/10

41) Reply is suitable Govt. A himself may send it. I entertain a doubt as to the soundness of the view taken by G.A. As the claim is barred by lapse of time it is unnecessary to consider the merits of the claim further

42. ~~Draft~~ Govt

28/10
H
29/10/28

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
30 OCT. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

In reply please refer to
No.....

Tel. Address :—
"JURIST," Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore... 192

DRAFT REPLY.

To

M. S. KRISHNA RAO, Esq.,
M a n a g e r,
Office of the "SATYAVADI", Mysore.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your registered letter dated the 25th July 1928, written to Dr. L. C. Coleman, Director of Agriculture, I am instructed to state as follows:-

Dr. L. C. Coleman was no doubt a Director at the time the prospectus of the "Mysore Sugar Works and Estates" Ltd., was issued. But he resigned his Directorship on the 15th January 1925 with the result that under article 106 (f) of the Articles of Association of the Company, he vacated his office as Director of that Company as from that date, - and for this among other reasons your claim is not admissible. Your request for the refund of the amount and interest referred to cannot, therefore be complied with.

Yours faithfully,

.....

Government Advocate
16/10/28

Serial No.

43) Submitted with a draft to the Govt. Advocate with Reference to paras (41 & 42).

CBR 30/10

44) Dft for appl Sec'y D) WG 31/10

1st Member

WG 9/11/28 VS

Minister 10/11/28

45) Issue WG 10/11/28 VS

4 Jo.

The Government Advocate.

D 4988 / f.S. 4.28-4 dt 15-11-1928

States that the draft letter to the Editor Satyavadi as proposed by him is suitable and that it may be issued by him.

46) With Reference to the Member's order in para 24) page 7, Protection Branch may perhaps be requested to put up the required file, for

CBR 19/11/28 S.O.T.

19/11 Protn. Br. with compliments

47) Kind refee is invited to the office note para 27 above. Since the file is not yet received from the C.E.S. Office, draft of a letter to C.E. is put up for approval.

Jaws 19/11

19.11.28

19/11

19/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Fin. Sec. 9 NOV. 1928 No. 2884

Serial No.

To Chief Engr.

No P 28 c 9 Dy 20-11-28

Requests return of the file no 5-5-of 24 Serials 38 to 42, 45-46 relating to the resignation of Dr Coleman and himself from the Directorship of the Mysore Sugar Works etc etc

(48) As soon as the file is received from the C.E. it will be sent to the Devl: Branch. This file may therefore be returned to that Branch.

Dy
27/11/28

Letter no 4696 dated 29.11.28 from Ch Engr.

(49) Enquires the no & date of the letter with which the file was forwarded to C.E

(50) The file D.C. 55 of 24 Serials 38 to 42, 45 to 46, has been now received from PPr Bangalore in connection with the suit filed by Rev Wesley against the Company & its Directors (Suit 37 of 28). It has been submitted to A.R. since the draft written statement in the case is under consideration. Next hrt is posted to 12.12.28 & the file has to be returned to PPr for framing the defence. If approved, ~~the D C file No 55 of 24 regd by the Development Br: will be returned~~ the PPr will be requested to send back the file D C 55 of 24

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.s

required by the Development Br, as soon as he has finished the preparation of the written statement to be filed on 12.12.28.

DMP 1/12/28 1/12

L-R.)

51) Yes 3.12

52) Draft to PPr please

DMP 6/12/28 6/12

53) Yes 6/12

54) This may kindly be returned to Develop: Br.

DMP 7/12/28

To the Dept Br 8/12

May be returned for now 7/12

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 10 DEC 1928 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

55) Submitted with reference to the Member's minutes in para 24) page 7

56) The file will be submitted for perusal as soon as it is received from the protection Branch.

CBR 13/12

57) For perusal. 15/12

GEN. & REV. DEPARTMENT 17 DEC. 1928 No. 3717

GEN. & REV. DEPARTMENT 2 DEC 1928 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Sus B 2-1-1929

NOTE—Contra sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,060—21-8-28

18/12/28

18/12/28 15/12

Serial No.

Submitted

58) With reference to Members Minutes in para 24) Page 7, G&C File NO 55-24-Misc 36a, 35, 38-42, 45, 46 is submitted for kind perusal. In this Connection kind reference is respectfully invited to last para of page 6 of the file herewith submitted.

CBR 7/2

Secy of 8.2.29

1st Member

9/4

11 2 29

13.2.29

14 2 29

14/2

4831

DEPT. OF INDUSTRIES. 2 MAR 1929 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

1

Telegraphic Address "Agri., Bangalore."
Code—5th Edition A. B. C.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

In reply please quote in full the Nos. and indicative symbol given below.

Bangalore,
July 27, 1928

Roc.245-Hc.50 of 28-29.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 926 30 JULY 1928 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

FROM

LESLIE C. COLEMAN, ESQ., M.A., PH.D.,
DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

M. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to enclose herewith letter No.1453 of the 25th instant from Mr. M.S.Krishna Rao, Editor of the Satyavadi As my connection with the company referred to was in my capacity as Director of Agriculture and was entered into under orders of Government, I take it this is a matter for consideration of and disposal by Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Director of Agriculture.

dsr(3)

Submitted with a draft for approval

Issue & submit



Ref. 1453

MYSORE 25/7/28

To Dr L C Coleman M.A. Ph.D
Director of Agriculture
Director of Agriculture
Government of
Bangalore

Dear

Subject: Starting of Co-operative Weekly
Newspaper Satyavadi

I have the honour to inform you that the Shareholders of the Mysore Co-operative Printing and Publishing Society Ltd., at the Annual General Meeting held on the 22nd instant, unanimously resolved to start a weekly newspaper in the name of "Satyavadi" in connection with the Co-operative movement in Mysore.

This is to certify that the Mysore Government Gazette by Government dated the 18th September 1928 has been declared by the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies in Mysore to be a Limited Company duly registered under the Mysore Co-operative Societies Regulation, 1927 and is entitled to commence business.

Since the Company does not intend to conduct any business and since they are

Yours Sincerely

Mrs Catherine Miller

A. P. F. VI. G1]

Issue & Submit.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section J. S

File No. 4-28

Serial No. 2

No. D 2000/Rec

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Registrar of Joint Stock Companies

Date of issue 14/8/28

Signed by M. V. Acharya

Sir,

I am forwarding herewith a copy of letter No 1453 & 25-7-1928 from Mr M. S. Krishna Rao, Editor of the "Satyavadi" Mysore, re. the refund of Share amount held by him in the Mysore Sugar Works & Estates Ltd, & am directed to request you to be so good as to favour Govt with a report in the matter at an early date.

I have etc

WS 11/8

For Secy to Govt

over Rev. Secs

(Stan)

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

CSR 11/8

D0325—GPB—30,000—26-5-27

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO NO. C.206/28-9 ENCL.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 1918 3 SEP. 1928 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

Office of the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies in Mysore.

Dated, Bangalore 31st August 1928. 1st September 1928.

FROM

S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~V.KRISHNASWAMI AIYER, Esq., B.A.,~~
Registrar of Joint Stock Companies in Mysore.

To

J.S. 4-28-3

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Departments,
Bangalore.

S i r ,

With reference to your letter No. 2800/J.S.4-28-2 dated 14th August 1928, calling for a report in the matter of refunding the amount paid by Mr. M. S. Krishna Rao to the Mysore Sugar Jorks, Limited, in order to become a shareholder therein, I have the -- honour to state as follows:

(1) The company was incorporated under the Mysore Companies Regulation on 18th October 1924 with an authorised capital of Rs.10,00,000 divided into 1,00,000 shares of Rs.10/- each. ~~The~~ Its first and only Prospectus was published on the date of its incorporation. The undermentioned gentlemen were named in the ~~Ar~~ Articles of Association and the Prospectus as Directors:-

(1) Dr. L. C. Colman, M.A., Ph.D.,
Director of Agriculture, Mysore State.
(Nominated by Government).
(2) Mr. J. Bhore, A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer, Mysore State.
(Nominated by Government.)
(3) Mr. Mohamedali Mamooji,
Banker and Merchant, Dhurumtulla street,
Calcutta.
(4) Mr. T. Wilberforce,
Merchant, "Windsor Lodge", Bangalore.
(5) Mr. Niranjanlal Bhargava,
Broker and Merchant, Allahabad.
(6) Mr. C. S. Doraswamy Iyer, B.A., B.L.,
Advocate, Bangalore.

Messrs. Battersby & Co., "Windsor Lodge", High Ground Bangalore were the Managing Agents.

(2) The Prospectus issued by the Company has the sentence 'This Prospectus has been approved and sanctioned by the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore' on page 1.

(3) The minimum subscription fixed by the Articles of Asscciation and named in the Prospectus for going into allotment as required by Section 101 of the Companies Regula tion was 10,000 shares of Rs.10/- each.

(4) No Return of allotments was filed by the company in this office as required by Section 104 of the said Regulation and from a letter of the Managing Agents dated 28th April 1925 it is found that no allotment of shares in fact took place. The Managing Agents also reported in July 1925 that it was decided not to carry on the business of the -- company.

(5) Section 101 of the Regulation says that if the minimum subscription has not been subscribed and the sums payable on the said subscription has not been received within 120 days after the date of the first issue of the Prospectus, all money received from applicants should be repaid to them without interest and that if it is not so repaid within 130 days after the issue of the Prospectus, the Directors shall be severally and jointly liable to repay it with interest at the rate of 7 per cent per annum from the expiration of the 130th day. The Prospectus also has the following sentence.

"If no allotment is made the deposit will be returned in full"

As no allotment took place the presumption is that the minimum subscription had not been reached before the stipulated time: The application money should, therefore, have been returned by the Directors after the 15th February 1925. But Dr. Coleman and Mr. Bhore resigned their Directorship in pursuance of Government Order No.IC. 4528-31/I&C.53-24-5 dated 6th January 1925 and on 15th and 10th January 1925 respectively and consequently ceased to be Directors from the said dates under paragraph 106 (f) of the Articles of Association(given on the margin for reference).

The office of a Director shall ipso facto be vacated"
(f) If by notice in writing to the company he resigns his office.

(6) As the application money became repayable only after the 15th February 1925 and as Dr. Coleman and Mr. Bhore were not Directors on that date, I think it may be maintained that they are not liable for the repayment of the money The Legal Remembrancer may also be consulted in the matter.

(7) It is not known when the other Directors retired from the Board. Messrs. Battersby & Co., in their letter dated 10th November 1925 reported that all the Directors and Managing Agents retired "immediately after the negotiations with the Government fell through and they did not furnish the exact date or dates of their retirement even though they were asked to furnish them.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

NPS.

A. P. F. VI. G[illegible]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section J.S

File No. 4-28

Serial No. 4

No. J 4988

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Govt Advocate

Bangalore

Date of issue 15/11/28

Signed by Asst. Secy. [illegible]

Sir,

With reference to your Un-Official No 5 of 1928-1929 dt 18-10-1928 in the matter of the Prayer of Mr M.S. Krishna Rao, Editor, "Satyavadi for the Refund of his Share Amount paid by him to the Mysore Sugar Works And Estates Ltd, I am directed to State that the Reply to Mr. Krishna Rao

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26-5-27

as proposed by you appears to be suitable and that the same may be issued by you.

I am however to add that as the claim is barred by lapse of time, there does not seem any necessity to further consider the merits of the claim.

I have etc.

UK 31/10
For Secy to Govt
Dev. Dept

9/11/28

10-11-28

CBR
30/10/28

Appendix F 6—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 3075

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Public Prosecutor
Bangalore.

Date of issue..... 7/12/28

Signed by..... M V RS

Sir

I am directed to request
you to return at an early
date the Industries and
Commerce file No. 55 of 1924
Serials 38642, 45446, relating
to the resignation of Dr Coleman
and Mr. Bhore from the Directorate
of the Mysore Sugar Works & Estates
Ltd, sent to you for drafting
the written statement in O.
76 of 25 26 on the file of
the First Munsiff of

st of enclosures.....

Copied by..... 10/12/28

Examined by.....

over

Bangalore.

Skweds

Ser Secy to Govt

Kanpeth

Dup

6/12

6/12

No. 4696

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTME
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore, 29.11 *1928*

FROM

JOHN BHORE, ESQ., A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

TO

The Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.2819/Law dated the 20th November 1928 requesting for the return of the Industries and Commerce File No.55 of 1924 Serials 38 to 42, 45 and 46 relating to the resignation of - Dr. Coleman and the Chief Engineer from the Directorate of the Mysore Sugar Works and Estates,Ltd., I have the honour to request you will be so good as to intimate the No. and date of the reference with which the file was forwarded to this Office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Engineer of Mysore

H.N.

Appendix F G –1

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 2849

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To John Bhore Esq

The Chief Engineer in Mysore

Bangalore

Date of issue 20/11/28

Signed by .....

Sir,

I am directed to request you to kindly return the Industries and Commerce file No: 55 of 1924 Serials 38 to 42, 45 and 46 relating to the n.p. ... of Dr Coleman and yourself from the Directorship of the Mysore Sugar Works & estates Ltd, sent to you in connection with your letter No 1595 dated 23rd August 1927.

~~Since the file is required in another Connection, This may be treated as very urgent.~~

Kindly

Thanks

Yours obedt

over for Secy to Govt

Labour

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....